APPLICATION NOTE

Analysis of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) in Water using EPA Method 8260: Versa-GC-MS vs Versa-GC-FID

AN192v1; April 2026, SCION Instruments

Introduction

Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) are a group of chemicals, e.g. xylene, which readily volatilize at low temperatures from certain liquids and solids.[1] VOCs can be released from an extensive number of sources as organic chemicals and are used in a wide variety of everyday products such as paints, fuels and household cleaners. VOCs are known to lead to both short term and long term health effects from eye, nose and throat irritation to causing damage to kidneys, liver and the central nervous system.[2]

EPA Method 8260 is a versatile method for the determination of VOC content in a variety of sample matrices such as water and soil by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS). A large number of VOCs have been outlined in this method with guidance on appropriate sampling techniques, sample preparation and analysis of these compounds. In this method it states that headspace may be used for the introduction of VOCs from water samples into a GCMS system with guidance from EPA Method 5021A.[3] See our technical note on EPA Method 5021A for more information.

Headspace is a sample preparation technique which can be used to extract VOCs from both solid and liquid matrices without the need for extensive sample preparation.

In this application note we will validate a method for VOC analysis in water samples with guidance from EPA method 8260 using the SCION Instruments Versa headspace sampler combined with the SCION 8300 GC and SQ 8700 MS and then compare the results to those collected using the SCION Instruments Versa headspace sampler with the SCION 8300 GC-FID.

The Versa is a static headspace sampler so all data collected for this application note was acquired using a loop method.

Experimental

Instrument parameters for the GC-MS can be found in Table 1 and the instrument parameters for the GC-FID can be found in Table 2. Table 3 shows the headspace sampler parameters for the Versa loop method. The system showed excellent specificity with compounds resolving well from one another and exhibiting good peak shape.

An internal standard (IS) was implemented in this application to improve the precision of the results. The internal standard selected for this application was fluorobenzene which was added at the same concentration to all samples. For more information about the importance of using an internal standard, see our technical note. A working standard was prepared at a concentration of 10 µg/ mL in methanol.

Table 1 Instrument parameters for GC-MS

GC Part	Settings
Injector (SSL)	200 °C Split 20:1
Liner	Narrow Bore Straight Through
Column	SCION 624-MS 30 m x 0.25 mm x 1.4 µm
Carrier Gas	Helium 1.5 mL/min
Oven Program	40 °C, hold 5 min 8 °C/ min to 180 °C, hold 0.17 min 30 °C/ min to 250 °C, hold 2 min
Run Time	27.0 min
Software	MSWS
MS Part	**Settings**
MS transfer line temp.	230 °C
Ion source temp.	250 °C
MS mode	Electron ionization
Delay collection time	5.0 min
Scan mode	SIM

Table 2 Instrument parameters for GC-FID

GC Part	Settings
Injector (SSL)	200 °C Split 20:1
Liner	Narrow Bore Straight Through
Column	SCION 624-MS 30 m x 0.25 mm x 1.4 µm
Carrier Gas	Helium 1.5 mL/min
Oven Program	40 °C, hold 5 min 8 °C/ min to 180 °C, hold 0.17 min 30 °C/ min to 250 °C, hold 2 min
Detector	FID (ceramic jet) 230°C Air : 300 mL/min Hydrogen : 30 mL/min Make up (Ar): 25 mL/min
Run Time	27.0 min
Software	CompassCDS

Analysis of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) in Water using EPA Method 8260: Versa-GC-MS vs Versa-GC-FID

AN192v1; April 2026, SCION Instruments

Table 3 Versa headspace sampler settings

Variable	Value
GC Cycle Time	35.00 min
Valve Oven Temp.	120 °C
Transfer Line Temp.	120 °C
Platen/ Sample Temp.	70 °C
Platen Temp Equil. Time	1.00 min
Sample Equil. Time	1.00 min
Mixer	ON
Mixing Level	Medium
Mixing Time	10.00 min
Mixer Stabilize Time	0.50 min
Pressurize	5 PSIG
Pressurize Time	0.15 min
Pressure Equil. Time	0.20 min
Loop Fill Pressure	3 PSIG
Loop Fill Time	1.00 min
Inject Time	0.50 min
Software	Versa App

Commercially available VOC standards were purchased for this application which contained a range of VOC compounds, with a concentration of 2000 µg/ mL, including those reported in Table 4. Due to the number of compounds present in the standard, not all have been mentioned in the results section. If necessary, the full validation report is accessible by request.

To prepare the linearity samples for the GC-MS method, a stock standard was prepared with a concentration of 1 µg/ mL in methanol. This was used to prepare linearity samples at 5 concentration levels: 1, 5, 10, 30 and 100 ppb in water. All linearity samples contained 100 ppb of IS. 1 mL of each solution was added to individual headspace vials, ready for analysis.

A single point calibration was used for the GC-FID therefore a single level standard was prepared using the stock standard to a concentration level of 30 ppb in water. 30 ppb was determined to be the LOQ for the GC-FID method. The linearity sample contained 100 ppb of IS. 1 mL of the solution was added to an individual headspace vial, ready for analysis.

For both GC-MS and GC-FID methods, water samples were prepared with IS (100 ppb). QC spiked water samples were prepared with IS (100 ppb) and by spiking with the VOC standard (30 ppb). To individual headspace vials, 1 mL of sample was added, ready for analysis. Into headspace vials, 1 mL water was added for solvent blanks.

To improve system sensitivity, Selective Ion Monitoring (SIM) was employed. Each VOC had a single quantifier ion and two qualifier ions selected. The quantifier ion was chosen by selecting the most abundant ion for each VOC. Qualifier ions were selected as the 2nd and 3rd most abundant ions for each compound. Qualifier ions are important in analyte confirmation and used to prevent false identification of compounds.

Results

Table 4 shows the correlation coefficient (r^2) and the RSD (%) for the system precision (n=6) for the selected VOCs collected using the GC-MS. The r^2 values found were all >0.997 with the majority >0.998 which demonstrates excellent linearity as the EPA method 8260 states that the initial calibration should achieve a $r^2 \geq 0.99$.[3]

An example of the calibration curve calculated for 1,3,5-trimethyllbenzene using our MS software, MSWS, can be seen in Figure 1 which shows the correlation coefficient as 0.9979. The system precision of each target analyte was ≤2.68%. EPA method 8260 states that the RSD should be ≤20% for each target analyte therefore this was an excellent result.[3]

A single point calibration was used for the GC-FID at 30 ppb. A single point calibration assumes a linear relationship and is the simplest form of calibration. 30 ppb was determined as the LOQ when using GC-FID. When using the MS, it was possible to analyse samples at 1 ppb showing the enhanced sensitivity of the MS detector.

Table 4 Correlation coefficient and system precision results for VOCs on GC-MS

VOC	Correlation coefficient (r^2)	RSD (%)	SIM Ions (Quantifier and qualifiers)
2-Chlorotoluene	0.9993	1.48	91, 89,126
4-Isopropyltoluene	0.9978	1.28	119, 91, 134
Benzene	0.9997	0.32	78, 77, 51
1,3,5-trimethylbenzene	0.9979	1.18	105, 120, 77
4-Chlorotoluene	0.9993	0.75	91, 126, 63
tert-Butylbenzene	0.9985	0.98	119, 91, 134
Ethylbenzene	0.9997	1.28	91, 106, 65
Isopropylbenzene	0.9991	0.72	105, 120, 79
m-Xylene & p-Xylene	0.9987	1.06	91, 106, 105
n-Propylbenzene	0.9989	1.09	91, 120, 65
o-Xylene	0.9992	1.34	91, 106, 105
sec-Butylbenzene	0.9985	1.11	105, 134, 91

Analysis of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) in Water using EPA Method 8260: Versa-GC-MS vs Versa-GC-FID

AN192v1; April 2026, SCION Instruments



Figure 1 Example from linearity ran using Versa-GC-MS for 1,3,5-tirmethylbenzene (30 ppb) showing correlation coefficient (r^2) from data review in MSWS.



Figure 2 Example QC spiked sample for 1,3,5-tirmethylbenzene from data review in MSWS, showing recovery of 89.74% on GCMS.

Figure 2 shows an example of the data review in MSWS for 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene in a QC spiked sample. The recovery is calculated using the verification deviation and then an average was calculated across the samples analyzed.

Table 5 shows the average recovery and precision results for the selected compounds' QC spiked samples (n=6) using the HS-GC-MS. Recovery was determined to be between 85.67-125.19% with precision ≤2.62% across all VOCs.

Table 5 Average recovery and precision results from spiked samples using Versa-GC-MS @30 ppb

VOC	Recovery (%)	RSD (%)
2-Chlorotoluene	94.58	1.50
4-Isopropyltoluene	86.46	1.25
Benzene	96.83	0.32
1,3,5-trimethylbenzene	88.05	1.12
4-Chlorotoluene	95.15	0.73
tert-Butylbenzene	89.05	1.17
Ethylbenzene	95.03	1.25
Isopropylbenzene	91.36	0.72
m-Xylene & p-Xylene	91.67	1.04
n-Propylbenzene	90.77	1.13
o-Xylene	96.18	1.32
sec-Butylbenzene	86.47	1.07

Table 6 Recovery and precision results from spiked sample using Versa-GC-FID @ 30 ppb

VOC	Recovery (%)	RSD (%)
2-Chlorotoluene	95.63	5.16
4-Isopropyltoluene	98.57	6.35
Benzene	106.70	4.69
1,3,5-trimethylbenzene & 4-Chlorotoluene	97.57	2.21
tert-Butylbenzene	98.27	5.13
Ethylbenzene	105.07	2.49
Isopropylbenzene	97.03	4.42
m-Xylene & p-Xylene	95.67	1.59
n-Propylbenzene	101.73	4.12
o-Xylene	120.00	4.28
sec-Butylbenzene	98.80	5.04

Analysis of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) in Water using EPA Method 8260: Versa-GC-MS vs Versa-GC-FID

AN192v1; April 2026, SCION Instruments

Table 6 shows the average recovery and precision results for the selected compounds' QC spiked samples (n=6) using the HS-GC-FID. Recovery was determined to be between 86.30 - 120.00% with precision ≤12.14 % across all VOCs with the majority of compounds <5%.

The precision results for the QC spiked samples had an RSD (%) much lower than ≤20% as specified in the method. In EPA method 8260, the suggested acceptance criteria for the recovery of the target analytes is 70-130%.[3] Both GC-MS and GC-FID methods gave excellent recovery results which sat comfortably within the range specified in EPA Method 8260.

Spiking the sample allows the performance of the analytical method to be evaluated to ensure that the method produces accurate and valid results. By spiking your sample you increase the concentration of the target analytes by a known amount and therefore will be able to determine if the added analytes are recovered. It is key to spike your sample at a concentration within your linearity range and sample volume is not increased. This allows calculations to be consistent and avoids introducing unknown effects. See our technical note on Recovery Spiked Sample for more information.

An LOQ was of 1 ppb was achieved using HS-GC-MS. In the water samples analyzed by HS-GC-MS, VOCs were found to be less than the LOQ.

Figure 3 demonstrates an example from MSWS of the results from a linearity sample which shows the quantifier and qualifier ions for 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene. As mentioned previously the quantifier and qualifier ions are used to confirm the identity of the compound.

When using a GC-FID it is possible to perform analyte confirmation without GC-MS. Analyte confirmation can be conducted using complimentary chromatography or it can also



Figure 3 Example of 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene from linearity sample (30 ppb) ran using static headspace sampling showing quantifier (top) and qualifier plots (middle and bottom)



Figure 4 Example linearity sample for 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene and 4-Chlorotoluene (30 ppb) showing peak co-elutes in TIC but with SIM method MSWS can identify the two separate compounds.



Figure 5 Example linearity sample for 1,3,5-tirmethylbenzene and 4-Chlorotoluene (30 ppb) showing peak co-elutes in zoomed chromatogram from CompassCDS.

be known as "orthogonal methods". The basis of complimentary chromatography is to use a chromatographic technique which is different from the original e.g. using a different type of column for analyte confirmation by matching the retention times between an analytical standard and the sample across two different column phase chemistries. For more information on complimentary chromatography and the importance of using an analytical standard, see our technical notes in the knowledge centre on our website.

Figures 4 and 5 demonstrate one of the benefits of using MS. Figure 4 shows a peak in our total ion chromatogram (TIC) which is identified as being two different compounds (brown). When the ions are extracted for the individual compounds, the two peaks can be identified clearly as seen in green and red. As we can extract the ions from each compound to identify them, we are able to quantify them separately. This is not the case when using GC-FID as seen in Figure 5, CompassCDS reports both 1,3,5-tirmethylbenzene and 4-Chlorotoluene as one peak and so they would need to be reported as a sum as they cannot be separated using this GC method.

Conclusion

A method was validated for VOC analysis in water samples with guidance from EPA method 8260 using the SCION Instruments Versa headspace sampler with the SCION 8300 GC and SQ 8700 MS and then the results were compared to those collected using the SCION Instruments Versa headspace sampler with the SCION 8300 GC-FID.

QC spiked samples and an internal standard were utilized to confirm a good working method and account for variation in sampling respectively.

Excellent system precision and linearity were achieved with all compounds meeting the acceptance criteria stated in EPA method 8260 with the GC-MS method. Recovery results and the system precision for the recovery results for both GC-MS and GC-FID methods were found to sit comfortably within the range specified in EPA Method 8260.

The LOQ when using HS-GC-FID was determined to be 30 ppb. An LOQ of 1 ppb was achieved using HS-GC-MS. In the water samples analyzed by HS-GC-MS, VOCs were found to be less than the LOQ.

SCION Instruments recommends checking with local regulatory authorities to ensure all testing and reporting requirements are met, or contact the SCION applications team for assistance.

References

1. United States Environmental Protection Agency, https://www.epa.gov/east-palestine-oh-train-derailment/what-are-svocs-and-vocs, (accessed Feb 2026).
2. United States Environmental Protection Agency, https://www.epa.gov/indoor-air-quality-iaq/volatile-organic-compounds-impact-indoor-air-quality#Health_Effects, (accessed Feb 2026).
3. United States Environmental Protection Agency, https://www.epa.gov/esam/epa-method-8260d-sw-846-volatile-organic-compounds-gas-chromatography-mass-spectrometry-gcms, (date accessed Feb 2026).

Ordering Information

Ordering Information for the 8300 GC	
Part	**Part Number**
SCION SQ SELECT, w/8300, SSL-T21; 120V	SCIONSQ83SEL311
SCION SQ SELECT, w/8300, SSL-T21; 230V	SCIONSQ83SEL312
Versa Static Headspace Vial Sampler 230V	SC150800200
Versa Static Headspace Vial Sampler 110V	SC150800100
Suggested Consumables	
Part	**Part Number**
LINER 1177 78.5 L x 6.3 OD x 1.2MM ID S/SL STRAIGHT PK/5	41312108
SCION-624MS 30 m x 0.25 mm x 1.4 µm	SC32591
15% Graphite/85% Vespel Ferrule 1/16" with 0.4 mm hole pk/10	41312148
BTO Septa 9 mm, pk/50	CR298713
Vial, Crimp, Headspace, 20mL Clear Glass 22.5x75mm. 20mm Bevelled Edge. Rounded-Flat Bottom. 100pcs/pk.	41311008
20 mm Aluminium Crimp Cap with 20 mm Natural PTFE/White Silicone Septa 3mm Thick	41311010

For ordering info on the SCION 8500 GC, which offers greater functionality with the option of up to 4 detectors (including MS), please contact your local SCION sales representative.

For more information, please contact:

E: sales-eu@scioninstruments.com

W: www.scioninstruments.com